# REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

| | |
|---|---|
| **Title of Publication:** | 00342GT.JPG |
| **Other Known Title:** | Not Stated |
| **Format:** | Computer Image File |
| **Director/Author:** | Not Applicable |
| **Producer/Publisher:** | Not Applicable |
| **Country of Origin:** | Not Stated |
| **Language:** | Not Applicable |
| **Applicant:** | The District Court at Christchurch |
| **Distributor:** | Not Applicable |
| **Classification:** | Objectionable. |
| **Descriptive Note:** | Not Applicable. |
| **Display Conditions:** | Not Applicable |
| **Date of entry in Register:** | 30 September 2005 |
| **Date of direction to issue a label:** | Not Applicable |
| **OFLC No:** | 501609 |
| **Excisions:** | Not Applicable. |

**Reasons for excisions:**

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons**
**for classification:**

The computer image file entitled *000342GT.JPG* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication promotes and supports bestiality.
The computer image file contains an image of two women and a dog. One of the women is engaged in sexual activity with the dog.

The purpose of the image is to cause sexual titillation in the viewer. The presentation clearly attempts to normalise and legitimise the activity it depicts.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable. The classification is also a reasonable limitation on the freedom of expression that reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to limit the availability of publications that promote and support bestiality.